

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

Joseph G. DuMouchelle and Melinda
J. Adducci

Debtors.

Chapter 7

Case No. 19-54531

Honorable Lisa Gretchko

Teodor Gelov

Plaintiff,

v.

Joseph G. DuMouchelle and Melinda
J. Adducci

Defendants.

Adversary Pro. No. 20-04172

**STIPULATION AS TO FORM ONLY, FOR ENTRY OF ORDER DENYING
IN PART AND GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Plaintiff, Teodor Gelov ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants, Joseph G. DuMouchelle and Melinda J. Adducci (collectively, "Defendants"), by their respective counsel, hereby stipulate, as to form only, to the entry of the *Order Denying in Part and Granting in Part Defendants' Motion for a Protective Order*.

Approved as to form:

**TAFT STETTENIUS AND
HOLLISTER, LLP**

By: /s/Kimberly Ross Clayson
Kimberly Ross Clayson (P69804)
Jay L. Welford (P34471)
27777 Franklin, Suite 2500
Southfield, MI 48034
(248) 351-3000
jwelford@taftlaw.com
kclayson@taftlaw.com

Counsel to Plaintiff, Teodor Gelov

Dated: June 30, 2023

JOHN R. FOLEY, P.C.

By: /s/Patrick A. Foley (w/permission)
Patrick A. Foley (P74323)
18572 W. Outer Drive
Dearborn, MI 48128
313-274-7377
pafoley@jrfpc.net

*Counsel for Defendants, Joseph G.
DuMouchelle and Melinda J. Adducci*

Dated: June 30, 2023

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:

Joseph G. DuMouchelle and Melinda
J. Adducci

Debtors.

Chapter 7

Case No. 19-54531

Honorable Lisa Gretchko

Teodor Gelov

Plaintiff,

v.

Joseph G. DuMouchelle and Melinda
J. Adducci

Defendants.

Adversary Pro. No. 20-04172

**ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A PROTECTIVE ORDER**

THIS MATTER came before the Court upon the Defendants' Motion for Entry of a Protective Order ("Motion"; ECF No. 132) and the Plaintiff's objection to the Motion ("Objection"; ECF No. 149). On June 23, 2023, the Court held a hearing ("Hearing") on the Motion and the Objection; counsel for Plaintiff and counsel for Defendants appeared at the Hearing. The Court has reviewed the Motion

and the Objection and other pertinent pleadings, has considered statements made at the Hearing, and is advised in the premises.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion is DENIED as to Interrogatory No. 8 and 9 and Request to Produce No. 7 of Plaintiff's Discovery Request ("Discovery Request," ECF No. 126) and Defendants shall comply in all respects with the Parties Report of 26(f) Conference (ECF No. 117) in response to Plaintiff's Discovery Request.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion is GRANTED as to Interrogatory No. 14 of Plaintiff's Discovery Request.